There are approximately 45 million smokers in the United States today.\textsuperscript{1} About half of all continuing smokers will die prematurely as a result of their habit.\textsuperscript{2} Despite the numerous public reports on the risks of smoking, studies show that a large number of smokers have inadequate knowledge of the health effects of smoking. While some smokers generally know that tobacco use is harmful, they underestimate the severity and magnitude of the health risks. Knowledge of the health risks of smoking is even lower among people with low income and fewer years of education because of limited access to information about the hazards of smoking.

Warning labels inform smokers about the health hazards of smoking, encourage smokers to quit, and prevent nonsmokers from starting to smoke. Warning labels on tobacco products are an ideal way of communicating with smokers. Since the intervention is delivered at the time of smoking, nearly all smokers are exposed to warning labels and pack-a-day smokers could be exposed to the warnings more than 7,000 times per year.\textsuperscript{3} Given the reach and frequency of exposure, warning labels have the potential to have a massive impact on smoking behavior. Further, two-thirds of all smokers indicate that the package is an important source of health information and health knowledge is strongly associated with an intention to quit smoking.\textsuperscript{4}

Unfortunately, since the U.S. first mandated the use of warning labels in 1984, their effect on smokers has drastically weakened, and the current labels are now virtually meaningless. Using the same parameters and the same four messages approved by Congress more than 20 years ago, today’s labels are small and easily overwhelmed by the designs on cigarette packages. Moreover, smokers have become habitualized to the style of labels, to the point that the labels go unnoticed altogether.

The Kennedy-Cornyn (S. 625) and Waxman-Davis (H.R. 1108) bills address the problems with current tobacco package warning labels. S. 625/H.R. 1108 require stronger, more specific health warnings covering the top 30 percent of the front and rear panels of the package and bearing the word “warning” in capital letters and 17-point type. The FDA would be empowered to revise labeling requirements including text, format size and use of color graphics so U.S. warning labels could be similar to the effective Canadian-style warnings.

In addition to conveying important health information, other compelling reasons to implement strong warning labels include:

- Warning labels detract from the glamour and appeal of cigarettes and help to create an environment where non-smoking is the norm.
- Strong warning labels are essential to counter the immense power of the tobacco industry. Tobacco companies have used attractive and persuasive images to market their products for decades so it is critical to counter their messages with equally persuasive visuals.
- Warning labels are inexpensive for countries to implement because the cost is borne by the companies and not by the government.

**Characteristics of Effective Tobacco Warning Labels**

Several elements that enhance a warning label’s effectiveness have been identified. Perhaps most important is the size and appearance of the warning. There is overwhelming evidence that warnings that contain graphics as well as verbal warnings, are larger, in color, and more comprehensive in content are most effective in communicating the health risks of smoking.\textsuperscript{5}

- Warning labels must be large enough to be easily noticed and read. Countries should implement the largest package warnings possible. Canada, Brazil, Australia, Finland, Belgium, Singapore, and Thailand require health messages to comprise, on average, 50% of the front and back of the
package. It is recommended that the warning/information label cover at least 80% of the front and back areas of the package.\(^6\)

- Warning labels should be positioned on the front of packs.
- Warning labels must contain a clear, direct and comprehensive message about the dangers of tobacco use, including the nature and magnitude of the risks. Messages should be worded simply and speak directly to the reader.
- Pictures on warning labels increase the message’s accessibility by people with low levels of literacy and can help smokers visualize tobacco-caused diseases. To increase effectiveness, pictures should be in color and the largest size possible.
- Warning labels need to be rotated regularly to avoid overexposure.
- Warning labels should include information for smokers who want to quit about where to find help.

**Research on the Effectiveness of Tobacco Warning Labels**

The scientific evidence on the effectiveness of warning labels is strong and will continue to grow as more countries introduce stronger labels and evaluate their effectiveness. Evidence from several countries suggests that large warnings with photos are effective in discouraging smoking and increasing public awareness of the health effects of smoking.

According to an international comparative study by Hammond et al., “Large, graphic warnings on cigarette packages are an effective means of increasing health knowledge among smokers [and] may also help to reduce the disparities in health knowledge by providing low-income smokers with regular access to health information.” Hammond and colleagues also found that smokers in countries where a warning depicts a particular health hazard of smoking are much more likely to know about that hazard and smokers who reported noticing warnings were 1.5 to 3.0 times more likely to believe in each health hazard.\(^7\) This is important because smokers who perceive greater health risk from smoking are more likely to intend to quit and quit smoking successfully.\(^6\) This study provides strong evidence that perhaps the most effective way to convey health risks to smokers is with graphic, large and comprehensive warning labels.

A more recent study by Hammond et al. found that changes in health warnings increase their effectiveness. After new United Kingdom (UK) warnings (enhanced to meet the FCTC minimum standard) were introduced, UK smokers were more likely to report having noticed and read the warnings compared to the previous warnings. UK smokers were also more likely to report that the new warnings had led them to think about quitting, to think about the health risks of smoking, and had deterred them from having a cigarette compared to Australian and US smokers.\(^9\) A 2007 study in the journal *Health Policy* compared U.S. and Canadian warning label requirements and concluded that the U.S. requirements were largely symbolic and weak at promoting smoking cessation compared to the much stronger Canadian requirements.\(^10\)

The evidence that warning labels can and do work is solid and extensive, as the additional examples illustrate.

- After new, large pictorial warnings were introduced in 2000, 91% of Canadian smokers surveyed reported having read the warning labels and demonstrated a thorough knowledge of their content. Further, smokers who read, thought about, and discussed the warning labels in greater depth at baseline were significantly more likely to either quit, attempt to quit, or reduce their smoking at follow-up.\(^11\)
- In Brazil, after the introduction of new picture warnings, 73% of smokers approved of them, 54% had changed their opinion on the health consequences of smoking, and 67% said the new warnings made them want to quit. The impact was particularly strong among less educated, lower income people.\(^12\)
- The introduction of stronger warning labels in Australia resulted in a 29% increase in people reporting that they always noticed the warning.\(^13\)
- A 2007 study in *Nicotine & Tobacco Research* found that a majority of smokers in the United States support strong, graphic warning labels similar to those used in Canada, and appreciate the information they provide.\(^14\)
Due to their effectiveness at communicating health messages, pictorial-based cigarette package health warning labels have been implemented in Canada (2000), Brazil (2002), Singapore (2003), European Union (2004), Venezuela (2004), Thailand (2005), Australia (2006), and Uruguay (2006). In 2006, Chile, Peru, Belgium, and the United Kingdom also passed legislation to require pictures or images on cigarette packs. New Zealand, Jordan, Romania, India and other countries are also considering implementing cigarette pack warnings as a way to educate smokers about the risks of continuing to smoke.
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Examples of Warning Labels

Below are some examples of the warning labels used on cigarette packages. “Cigarettes Cause Strokes,” “Tobacco Use Can Make You Impotent,” and “Cigarettes Are Highly Addictive,” have been used on Canada’s cigarette packages since 2000. “Smoking Causes Lung Cancer” and “Smoking Causes Mouth and Throat Cancer,” have been used on cigarette packages by the Australian Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing since March 2006.
9 out of 10 lung cancers are caused by smoking. Every cigarette you smoke increases your risk of lung cancer. Most people who get lung cancer, die from it.

You CAN quit smoking. Call Quitline 131 848, talk to your doctor or pharmacist, or visit www.quitnow.info.au

Smoking is the major cause of cancers affecting the mouth and throat. These cancers can result in extensive surgery, problems in eating and swallowing, speech problems and permanent disfigurement.

You CAN quit smoking. Call Quitline 131 848, talk to your doctor or pharmacist, or visit www.quitnow.info.au